LAW OFFICES

KENNETH A. ZITTER

260 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10016 (212) 532-8000

November 12, 2019

Magistrate Judge Robert W. Lehrburger United States Magistrate Judge United States District Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

Re:

Alpha Capital Anstalt v. Shiftpixy, Inc.

19 CV 6199 (PGG) (RWL)

Dear Magistrate Judge Lehrburger:

In accordance with Your Honor's Order dated November 12, 2019, enclosed please find a complete copy of Exhibit C to Plaintiff's moving Memorandum of Law.

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth A. Zitter

KAZ/nr

Enc.

via ECF

```
Conference
     92AAAALPC
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
    SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
1
2
2
    ALPHA CAPITAL ANSTALT,
3
3
                   Plaintiff,
4
 4
                v.
                                          09 CV 670 (LAK)
5
5
 6
   ADVANCED CELL TECHNOLOGY,
 6
    INC.,
7
                   Defendant.
8
    ____X
8
                                           New York, N.Y.
                                           February 10, 2009
9
                                           3:00 p.m.
10
10
11
    Before:
11
                         HON. LEWIS A. KAPLAN,
12
12
                                           District Judge
13
13
                              APPEARANCES
14
14
15 KENNETH A. ZITTER
        Attorney for Plaintiff Alpha
15
16
16 BACHNER & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
         Attorneys for Defendant Advanced Cell
17
    BY: MICHAEL FRED BACHNER
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
                   SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

EXHIBIT C

Conference 92AAAALPC (Case called) 1 MR. ZITTER: Kenneth A. Zitter, 260 Madison Avenue, 2 New York, New York, counsel for plaintiff Alpha Capital 3 Anstalt. 4 MR. BACHNER: Good afternoon or good morning, 5 actually, your Honor. 6 Michael Bachner. With me is Kevin O' Brian. We 7 represent Advanced Cell Technology. 8 THE COURT: Good morning. 9 Okay. Does the plaintiff have any more evidence to 10 present? 11 MR. ZITTER: Well, your Honor, last time we were here 12 you said you wanted to hold a hearing. I have Mr. Rabinowitz 1.3 here physically in court. He is the one who signed the aff --14 one of the affirmations in support of the order to show cause. 15 I don't think there is any dispute about the facts but if your 16 Honor wants live witness testimony --1,7 THE COURT: I couldn't quite follow the whole 18 sentence. You said you didn't think there was any dispute 19 about the facts --20 MR. ZITTER: Right, about the facts that 21 Mr. Rabinowitz put forth in the affirmation. So I don't know 22 if it advances the ball to have him testify but he is available 23 to testify if your Honor would prefer live testimony. 24 THE COURT: I don't have to listen to something that 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Conference 92AAAALPC I've read already. So it's really going to be up to Mr. Bachner if he feels he needs to cross-examine Mr. Rabinowitz. 3 MR. BACHNER: Your Honor, we're satisfied actually 4 that the papers set forth accurately Mr. Rabinowitz's position 5 6 as well. THE COURT: OK. Fine. Thank you. So is there any 7 other evidence for the plaintiff? 8 MR. ZITTER: No, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Then I'll hear Mr. Bachner's side. 10 MR. BACHNER: Thank you, your Honor. 11 Your Honor, we're here in front of you today, frankly, 12 we were hoping that we would be in front of you today telling 13 you that this matter had been resolved. My office through 14 15 Mr. O'Brien --THE COURT: You were not alone in that. 16 MR. BACHNER: I know, your Honor. And we were working 1.7 fairly diligently with Mr. Zitter at some really long hours 18 over the course of the weekend. And, frankly, your Honor, we 19 thought that as of nine o'clock this morning that this was 20 going to be resolved. A glitch came about, your Honor, in some 21 of the dealings with Mr. Caldwell's other corporate counsel 22 about concerns that they had in connection with the settlement issues. And we thought it was appropriate, your Honor, even though Mr. Ryan indicates to me that he thinks you may have 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Ĵ.

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

1,5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

Conference 92AAAALPC actually in some way addressed this last time. And if you did I apologize to the Court and if you have addressed it, your Honor, whatever order your Honor issues we will abide by, of course, and so will the company. Mr. Caldwell, your Honor, frankly, would have been here. We hoped that this was going to be resolved and he didn't have to incur, the company didn't have to incur the expense of coming down here. Mr. Caldwell if you want to speak to him about any questions that your Honor may have he is available by phone to do that. THE COURT: This is not an inquisitorial process. There's been a motion made. You've put in no papers in opposition. If you want to put on a witness I'll certainly hear that. So the ball is in your court. MR. BACHNER: That's fine, your Honor. We thought you might have been questions of Mr. Caldwell that we're weren't ready to answer. We're ready to answer them today. You had questions for Mr. Ryan. I don't know if it's necessary. We didn't mean any disrespect to the Court by not having him here. THE COURT: I appreciate that. I had a lot of questions. I wanted to know whether the financial condition of the company is any better or worse today than it was as of March 31, 2008 when it filed it's last queue. I wanted to know about the amount of shares authorized issued and outstanding were.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, F.C. (212) 805-0300

Conference 92AAAALPC MR. BACHNER: We have that information, your Honor. 1 We have it through certification by Mr. Caldwell and he is 2 ready to go on the phone and tell it to your Honor. 3 THE COURT: What do you mean? MR. BACHNER: As part of what was going to be the 5 original settlement Mr. Caldwell put together a certification б by him indicating how many outstanding shares there were. 7 There were five hundred million shares outstanding, your Honor, 8 authorized actually. And there are six million shares, your 9 Honor, not yet issued. 10 THE COURT: So you've issued four million nine hundred 11 and 94 million? 12 MR. BACHNER: Yes, your Honor. And there's six 13 million shares -- actually, your Honor, there were 493,805,641 14 15 shares. THE COURT: 493,895 and what? 16 MR. BACHNER: 641, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: That's what's issued and without standing? 18 MR. BACHNER: That's correct, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: So the balance that are authorized but not 20 yet issued is six million, right? 21 MR. BACHNER: I apologize, your Honor. I spoke over 22 23 you. THE COURT: The authorized but not yet issued are 24 about six point two million? 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805~0300

MR. BACHNER: Correct, your Honor.

esce.

1.0

1.6

Judge, this was the glitch that put us up and whatever your Honor rules on this we will abide by of course.

One of the provisions, and it was brought up in the motion papers, has to do with this giving preference to other debenture holders. There are other debenture holders who are in the same position. Although, they haven't come to court yet regarding their situation as well. On page --

MR. ZITTER: Your Honor, I don't mean to interrupt Mr. Bachner but he is testifying, your Honor. He is giving basically testimony as to what the facts are. He doesn't know them. He is not sworn. He doesn't have a witness here.

THE COURT: One of the things that I was going to inquire of you, Mr. Zitter, at least to the point that he had gone so far, the shares authorizes issued and outstanding. Do you have any quarrel with accepting those numbers?

MR. ZITTER: Yes, I do, your Honor. I have serious quarrel with it. First of all, we have no basis to know if it's true or false.

Second, on Friday immediately after the hearing that we had on that day I sent an e-mail to Mr. Bachner's office saying send me the documentation which shows the shares which were issued which were outstanding and how many are left. I don't have any documents, your Honor. I don't know whether it is true or not. In the course of our settlement negotiations I SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

الأ وا

92AAAALPC Conference heard at least four different numbers of how many shares were 1 authorized but as yet unissued. I have no basis to say. There's no witness here to give testimony about it, your Honor, and I would object. MR. O'BRIEN: Your Honor, Mr. Zitter did ask for information regarding the outstanding shares. As part of our settlement negotiations he drafted a certification for Mr. Caldwell to fill out regarding number of shares that are outstanding and the number of shares that had been issued as of 9 February 9 which was the date that he had asked for. That 10 certification we received late last night while we thought we 11 were still in the process of settling. I have that with me 12 today, your Honor. That was certified and notarized by 13 Mr. Caldwell in direct response to Mr. Zitter's question in 14 that regard. 15 THE COURT: So presumably you want to offer it, right? 16 MR. O'BRIEN: That's correct, your Honor. 1.7 THE COURT: Mark it as Defendant's Exhibit A. 18 MR. BACHNER: Should we approach? THE COURT: Yes. Show it to Mr. Zitter first. 20 (Pause) 21 MR. BACHNER: Your Honor, just so we're clear, we did 22 offer to show this to Mr. Zitter before court and he said he 23 wouldn't accept it or look at it. We're not --24 MR. ZITTER: I would object to the introduction into 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Conference 92AAAALPC evidence, your Honor. It's pure hearsay. We've asked for the documents which back it up. They have not been provided to us and Mr. Caldwell is not in court to be subject to cross-examination. MR. BACHNER: Your Honor, while we agree he is not 5 physically here, he is available by telephone, your Honor, to 6 be cross-examined and placed under oath. 7 Your Honor, if I might just continue, the position 8 that we found ourselves, that is, the company found themselves 9 in, is that under the provisions of the agreement that we all 10 agree exists, I mean the provision itself, there is a provision 11 which essentially, which does indicate all purchasers have to 12 receive equal treatment and the concern that we had, your 13 Honor, is that by issuing the six million shares --14 THE COURT: What provision are you referring to? 15 MR. BACHNER: It's on page 31 of Exhibit P. It's 16 paragraph 4.15. Starts off --17 THE COURT: I am trying to read it. 18 MR. BACHNER: I'm sorry. 19 20 (Pause) THE COURT: I've read it. How does that bear on 21 honoring a right to convert the debenture into stock? 22 MR. BACHNER: The concern that had come up, your 23 Honor, was that by issuing all of, by giving all of the 24 outstanding remaining shares to Alpha we are, in fact, 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805~0300

Conference 92AAAALPC violating the terms of the agreement indicating that we are not to be given, that all of the purchasers are to be given equal treatment and that we're not permitted, your Honor, to offer 3 consideration to one purchaser that is not offered to another. THE COURT: But, Mr. Bachner, you are not reading the 5 same paragraph I am reading if you think it says that. The 6 first sentence says, no consideration shall be offered or paid 7 to any person for a particular purpose. That particular purpose is to amend or consent to a waiver or a modification of 9 any transaction documents unless the same consideration is 10 11 given to others. Now, honoring a debenture holder's contractural right 1,2 to convert the debenture holder's election, the debentures into 13 common stock does not constitute the payment of any 14 consideration, I think. But even if it did, it would be for a 15 purpose different than the one that the first sentence of 16 Section 4.15 speaks to, wouldn't it? 17 MR. BACHNER: Which is waiver or amendment. Which is 18 amendment or --19 THE COURT: Waiver or amendment of the transaction 20 21 document. MR. BACHNER: As I understand it, your Honor, the 22 problem that we had with those words was that the amount, the 23 price at which the debentures was going to be converted over 24 was at two cents. As we understand it, the debenture agreement 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1.0

8. 6

Conference 92AAAALPC was that either it was that I think the lowest price was 15 cents. So we were amending the agreement to give the debentures at a price substantially lower than what was 3 reflected in the agreement. THE COURT: I don't understand that. 5 MR. BACHNER: Your Honor, I am going to be very 6 candid. Mr. O'Brien, if he could address that I would 7 appreciate that. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 MR. O'BRIEN: Your Honor, because as the plaintiffs 10 are alleging the conversion price would be reduced to two cents 11 per share because of other issuances that trigger the -- I'm 12 sorry. I don't have it in front of me at this moment. Under 13 the subsequent equities sales provision in both of the 14 debentures at page 13 of Exhibit A the conversion price is 15 being reduced to reflect the subsequent issuance of shares at a price below the conversion price of the debentures and 17 therefore --18 THE COURT: I don't understand. The conversion price 19 of the debentures? 20 MR. O'BRIEN: The conversion price of the debentures 21 depending on the debenture on the face value is either 35 cents 22 or 15 cents. The argument that plaintiffs are advancing is 23 that that advance sale issued shares to a third party at two 24 cents per share and thus the subsequent equity sales provision 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Conference 92AAAALPC of the debentures is triggered. 1 THE COURT: And therefore if the company converts at two cents it is doing so pursuant to the expressed terms of the 3 debenture and the shares that are being issued are not consideration for any modification or waiver of any provision 5 of the debenture agreement. They're being issued in strict б conformity of the debenture, right? 7 MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, your Honor, I understand. 8 THE COURT: Okay. So the first sentence here doesn't 9 have anything to do with this and neither does the second 10 sentence I think because there's nothing involved in the 11 conversion of the debentures pursuant to which the company is 12 making any payment of principle on the debentures; isn't that 13 right? 14 MR. BACHNER: Your Honor, we understand what your 15 Honor is saying, yes. 16 THE COURT: And I am correct, am I not? 17 MR. BACHNER: We do not disagree with your Honor's 18 19 finding. THE COURT: Okay. And therefore this Section 4.15 has 20 nothing to do with the subject at hand. You are simply 21 obliged, as far as I can see the documents, to honor the 22 notices of conversion, right? 23 MR. BACHNER: We agree your Honor and the concern that 24 we had was without some kind of judicial comment on that we 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Conference 92AAAALPC didn't want, even though we frankly tended to agree, there were 1 people that did not on the conversion end, and we agree with your Honor we just did not want the position of having some domino effect coming over. And our position is that this in fact may not have been a trigger but there were other attorneys 5 for the company --6 THE COURT: So when you say this in fact may not be a trigger what is the "this" that you are referring to? 8 MR. BACHNER: Your Honor, we are in agreement in our 9 initial reading which is why frankly we had proceeded so far in 10 settlement discussions that the reading that your Honor gave 11 was certainly a reading that counsel tended to agree with. 12 The problem is, your Honor, that we had some 13 disagreement and we felt it appropriate that if we were going 14 to issue the shares it be pursuant to a ruling by the Court 15 that we do so. 16 THE COURT: When you say you had some disagreement, 17 you mean there were other people who didn't agree with you. 18 MR. BACHNER: Correct. And we felt, your Honor, that 19 the most important thing is we for reporting requirements, 20 etc., your Honor, to be able to going back to the other 21 debenture holders and say we don't believe we are in violation 22 of this provision. If the want to litigate it they can 23 24 litigate it. THE COURT: I understand that. So the only remaining 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Conference 92AAAALPC question goes to, I believe, goes to the subject of irreparable injury. What else is to be said on that subject? MR. ZITTER: Your Honor, may I be heard just briefly on that? Mr. Rabinowitz is here and his affirmation, but I think two things are important to state. Number one and Mr. Caldwell told him that the company's on the verge of 6 7 bankruptcy so that --THE COURT: This is a recent statement? 8 MR. ZITTER: Yes, your Honor. It's in his e-mail. I 9 think it was a conversation on or about January 13th but he is 10 here to testify about it and that he would not honor a 11 conversion requests. He would not honor the obligation to 12 reserve shares for the benefit of the debenture holders as they 13 agreed to do with the documents. It is crystal clear that if 14 all we get here is the money judgment we will never see our 15 money. There are no assets from which to collect. The company 16 is on the verge of bankruptcy. And if all we get and indeed I 17 think -- judgment we will go into bankruptcy. So there is no 1.8 way we are going to get paid. In my mind that's ultimate 19 irreparable harm and the Second Circuit other cases have had to 20 brief that. 21 Even more than that, your Honor, they agreed to do all 22 this stuff. We are not asking them to do something. They 23 didn't agree. They agreed to reserve shares for our benefit. 24 They just ignored that obligation. Other than a court order 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Conference 92AAAALPC saying can't issue shares to someone else until you reserve the proper amount of shares for us, how can we enforce that? If all we get is damages which your Honor would in effect be saying is the agreement to reserve shares is simply an agreement without a remedy. I don't believe that's true. It 5 is a contract obligation. We agreed to do it. 6 Your Honor, the case is beside the case which we state 7 states that irreparable injury is present when you can't 8 collect. Also, it will be difficulty in calculating damages 9 here. Even assuming we got the stock and went out and sold it. 10 THE COURT: I understand that.
MR. ZITTER: There is case law which we cited which 11 12 shows that to be irreparable injury. And finally, a 1, 3 corporation should be made to adhere to the terms of their 14 publicly held security. They shouldn't make the people who in invest in the companies and buy public securities chase them 16 and run around. They should be compelled by the Court to do 17 what they agreed to and I think we established irreparable 18 injury more than sufficiently. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Bachner, anything else? 20 MR. O'BRIEN: Your Honor, just on the irreparable 21 injury point to address some of the issues from Friday. Again, 22 the shares are publicly traded and the -- volume is 23 approximately 14 million shares traded per day to the extent 24 that that helps your Honor's determination. 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

MR. ZITTER: That's also testimony by an attorney without any facts, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes. Okay. All right. I am going to rule on this now. I have before me a motion for preliminary injunction by the plaintiff Alpha Capital Anstalt against Advanced Cell Technology Inc. The plaintiff seeks an order directing Alpha Capital Technology Inc. to deliver immediately 2.5 million shares of its common stock to Alpha Capital and to honor all future conversion requests duly submitted by Alpha Capital in accordance with the agreements between the parties.

To declare that the conversion price of the ACTI secured convertible debentures held by Alpha Capital is two cents per share, subject to further downward adjustment as provided in the agreement between the parties and enjoining and abstaining Advanced Cell Technology additional shares of its common stock to any person or entity other than Alpha Capital and to other holders of Alpha Capital -- excuse me -- of Advanced Cell Technology convertible debentures unless and until Advanced Cell technology is in compliance with its contractual obligation to reserve sufficient shares of common stock or issuance to Alpha Capital and the other holders of Advanced Cell Technology convertible debentures.

The parties have devoted the usual amount of attention to the standard that governs the issuance of a preliminary injunction. I needn't spend much time on it.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On the issue of the plaintiff's likelihood of success, on the basis of what I have seen and heard so far, the plaintiff is substantially certain to prevail on the merits in all respects. We are not here talking about a claim as to which there is a fair probability of, or that there are fair issues, fair ground for litigation or indeed a likelihood or clear likelihood of success. Here, the plaintiff in my view is substantially likely to prevail. The only argument in fact advanced on behalf of the defendant in opposition to the plaintiff's case was Mr. Bachner's reference to Section 4.15 of the underlying agreement which was the subject of colloquy between me and Mr. Bachner. Mr. Bachner and I have no disagreement about it. It clearly does not constitute a defense to the relief sought here. I understand he was putting forward an argument that somebody else in the constellation of Advanced Cell Technology wanted him to put forward and with respect to that he had do that. But there is not merit to his argument. That's not a comment on Mr. Bachner's efficacy, his comment on plain language of Section 4.15. So this is no defense to the claim.

16

So far as irreparable injury is concerned, I have read with care and interest the decision by my friend and colleague, Judge Holwell of this court, in Mullview Special Finance Inc. v. Finial Labs Inc. It is unreported but it was rendered on November 29, 2006. The docket number is 06 CV 1772. I agree SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

entirely with everything he said on the subject of irreparable harm. It is all fully applicable to this case. It's quite clear that the plaintiff would have no adequate remedy of law in the absence of the preliminary injunction that I am going to grant. So I will issue the preliminary injunction.

Now, I will ask that Mr. Zitter submit to chambers, hopeful by tomorrow, a proposed form of order. The temporary restraining order remains in effect pending the entry of preliminary injunction and the only other question that I will raise is whether anybody has anything to say on the subject of a bond.

MR. ZITTER: Yes, your Honor.

1.2

1.4

I think that there should not be a bond. Your Honor knows at the discretionary with the Court the likelihood of there being damages as result of a wrongly granted injunction here, your Honor. I think are minimal at best. I think your Honor's decision is correct. I think we're clearly entitled to the injunction and as I understand it, the bond is simply to protect the defendant in the event the injunction is found -- to be granted. I don't think there's chance of this injunction having to be found is wrongfully granted.

THE COURT: I never think there is a chance when I issue a ruling, but every now and then the Court of Appeals engenders humility.

MR. ZITTER: This is clearly it would have to be an SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

3.

Conference 92AAAALPC abuse of discretion. So it's not just, you would have to, I 1 don't see that there is any damage to the defendant which we 2 would have to protect against with a bond, your Honor. So I 3 would suggest that a bond be waived in this case. 4 MR. BACHNER: Your Honor, we rely on our paper. 5 THE COURT: Well, maybe you could remind me since the 6 only paper I got was I think this Caldwell certification. 7 MR. O'BRIEN: Your Honor, we submitted a memo on, I 8 9 believe --THE COURT: A few days ago? 10 MR. O'BRIEN: On Thursday I believe we ECFed it, your 11. 12 Honor. THE COURT: Maybe you will remind me. 13 MR. O'BRIEN: Your Honor, it's our position that a 14 15 bond is required. THE COURT: Well, how much for what? 16 MR. O'BRIEN: For the loss of value of the potential 17 issuance of shares to the extent that the injunction assuming 1.8 that the injunction is wrongfully issued there are shares, six 19 million shares that could be used by the company at the current 20 market price was 19 cents per share as of the close of 21 yesterday that could be used by the company for further 22 funding. To the extent that the injunction was wrongfully 23 issued the company would have been deprived of the use of those 24 shares for the entire time period. I believe the case law on 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

¥, 3

Conference 92AAAALPC that is consistent that the loss of value of the property that 1 is enjoined is a valid basis for the bond value. THE COURT: Well, but even assuming you could raise 3 six million times 19 cents or about a million dollars through a 4 good sale of the shares which I suppose is pretty questionable 5 since the stock has been selling as low as one or two cents, if 6 you try to sell six million shares all at once you probably 7 wouldn't get anything close to 19 cents. Let's pass over that. 8 You would get the million dollars and you would pay bills you 9 already owe, right? The company is under water, way under 10 water; isn't that true? 11 MR. O'BRIEN: I believe the company has significant 12 outstanding obligations, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Not to put too fine a point on it. It's 14 net worth as of March 31, 2008 was minus 28 million. Is it any 15 better than that today? 16 MR. O'BRIEN: Your Honor, we intend to have 17 Mr. Caldwell available. To the extent that question needs to 18 be answered as I stand here I can't answer that question. 19 THE COURT: You mean you didn't even ask him? 20 MR. O'BRIEN: I set forth in Mr. Caldwell's papers the 21 share price when it was two cents per share. Significant 22 issues have occurred since then, particularly, in the last 23 month which has caused the share price to rise. The company's 24 prospects are improving. The company is close to becoming once 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1.2

1.7

92AAAALPC Conference

again a reporting company and in the opinion of Mr. Caldwell as set forth in his affirmation -- excuse me -- in his affidavit with the Court is that the company is on the path to recovery and at two cents per share is hopefully a thing of the past.

THE COURT: Last guy I heard say that was Richard Full the weekend before Lehman Brothers went down.

Look, it seems to me that the idea that there would be the -- for a wrongful injunction is essentially speculative. I think it's conceivable that some additional capital could be raised. But in the last analysis I don't see that making any material difference here. Even if they could raise a million bucks by selling six million shares for 20 cents and 19 cents a share, it's just going to another creditor, that's all. And so I think what I will do is I'll require a bond be posted by next Tuesday at five o'clock in the amount of \$75,000. And the injunction, the TRO remains in effect until then and the preliminary injunction will provide that it's continuation beyond five o'clock next Tuesday will be conditioned on the posting of the \$75,000 by then, but it will become effective on issuance. Okay. Thank you.

MR. ZITTER: One more request. I am not sure whether we will do this or not, but in the event the binding company requires collateral will it be acceptable if the company simply deposited the \$75,000 in my escrow account and I will hold that in lieu of the bond?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Conference 92AAAALPC THE COURT: No. It goes to the Clerk of the Court. 1 MR. ZITTER: Generally not, your Honor. The bonding 2 company generally issues the preliminary injunction bond. 3 THE COURT: I understand that. But you are saying 4 that you would like instead of a bond if I understand you 5 correctly for you to have \$75,000 in your escrow account. 6 MR. ZITTER: I will hold it in lieu of the bond, 7 whatever conditions the preliminary injunction bond would be 8 usable for. 9 THE COURT: That not acceptable. Either you produce a 10 bond or you deliver \$75,000 to the clerk who will hold it. 11 MR. ZITTER: That's fine. 12 THE COURT: That's the way I always do it. This is 13 1.4 not. MR. ZITTER: Off the record. Off the record, I've 15 done that before, but so be it. 16 THE COURT: If your adversary is content to do it that 17 18 way. MR. BACHNER: I always tend to agree with the Court in 19 these conditions. 20 Nice to see you again, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Likewise. 22 000 23 24 25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300